other macroeconomic aggregates, like manufacturing production and budgetary spending. These phenomena show that there is need to introduce more detailed analyses of factors influencing economic growth nowadays. But will it still be economic analysis in line with the Wagner's Law formulated almost 140 years ago?

Notes/Przypisy

[1] In his opinion Mitteleuropa and Osteuropa should be dominated by German and Russian "national states". And he indicated that the Czechs, the Poles, Danes and French are dangerous wedge driven into German natural territories and must therefore be destroyed or assimilated by the Germans (p. 389).

[2] Quotation from Clark, 1940, p.392.

[3] See Balatsky, 2012, p. 12: "we believe it is quite sufficient to determine how quickly "communism" sets in if Wagner's law is fulfilled. In this case, by communism we mean the point (...), when all of the GDP that is created is confiscated in the form of taxes and then redistributed in the form of government spending."

References/Bibliografia

Aranjo, J. T. (1993). The government sector in Kaldor–Pasinetti models of growth and income distribution. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 15(2), 211–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/01603477.1992.11489935

Atkinson, A. B.,& Stiglitz, J. E. (1980). Lectures on public economics. London, New York: McGraw–Hill.

Blavatsky, E. (2012). Wagner's Law, the Armey–Rahn Curve, and the Paradox of Wealth. Problems of Economic Transition, 54(12), 40–54. https://doi.org/10.2753/PET1061-1991541203

Baumol, W. J. (1967). Macroeconomics of unbalanced growth: The anatomy of urban crisis. The American Economic Review, 57, 415–426.

Bovenberg, A. L. (1993). Investment promoting policies in open economies. The importance of intergenerational and international distributional effects. Journal of Public Economics, 51, 3–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727(93)90003-C

Clark, E. A. (1940). Adolph Wagner: From National Economist to National Socialist. Political Science Quarterly, 55(3). https://doi.org/10.2307/2144096

Frey, B. (1985). Are natural limits to the growth of government? In F. Forte & A. Peacock. (Eds.), Public Expenditure and Government Growth (pp. 101–131). Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Friedman, M. (1992). Money Mischief. Chicago: Jovanovich.

Gemmell, N. (1993). Wagner's Law and Musgrave`s hypothesis. In N. Gemmell, The growth of the public sector. Theories and international evidence (pp. 103–120). Aldershot and Vermont.

Goffman, I. J. (1972). Wagner`s Law. In B. S. Sahni (Ed.), Public expenditure analysis. Selected readings. Rotterdam University Press.

Gramlich, E. M. (1981). Benefit–Cost Analysis of Government Programs. London.

Hanusch, H. (Ed.). (1982). Public Finance and the Quest of Efficiency, proceedings of the 38th Congress of the International Institute of Public Finance. Detroit: Wayne State University Press.

Heald, D. (1983). Public expenditure. Oxford.

Jaén–Garcia, M. (2018). Wagner's Law: A Revision and a New Empirical Estimation. Hacienda Pública Espanola/Review of Public Economics, 224(1), 13–35. https://doi.org/10.7866/HPE-RPE.18.1.1

IMF (1993). World Economic Outlook, May 1993. Washington DC.

Kau, J. B., & Rubin, P. H. (1981). The size of government. Public Choice, 37(2), 261–274. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00138246

Malik, A. S., & Schwab, R. M. (1991). The economics of tax amnesties. Journal of Public Economics, 46, 29–50; https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727(91)90063-8

Lynde, C., & Richmond, J. (1992). The role of public capital in production. The Review of Economics and Statistics, February, (1), 37–43. https://doi.org/10.2307/2109540

Meade, J. E. (1966a). Private and social costs and benefits. A note on terminology. The Economic Journal, 76, 153. https://doi.org/10.2307/2229070

Meade, J.E. (1966b). The outcome of the Pasinetti process: a note. The Economic Journal, 76, 161–165. https://doi.org/10.2307/2229072

Musgrave, R. A. (1972). A multiple theory of the public household. In B. S. Shani (Ed.), Public expenditure analysis. Selected readings. Rotterdam University Press.

Pasinetti, L. L. (1966). New results in an old framework. Comments on Samuelson and Modigliani. Review of Economic Studies, 33, 303–306. https://doi.org/10.2307/2974426

Peacock, A. (1976). The economic analysis of government and related themes. Oxford.

Steedman, I. (1972). The state and the outcome of the Pasinetti process. The Economic Journal, 82, 1387–1395. https://doi.org/10.2307/2231318

Stiglitz, J. E., et al. (1990). The economic role of the state. Oxford.

Szlichciński, K. (2009). Prawo Wagnera po ponad stu latach — wzrost czy zmniejszenie wydatków publicznych motorem wzrostu gospodarczego? Ekonomia i Prawo, 5, 75–83. https://apcz.umk.pl/czasopisma/index.php/EiP/article/view/EiP.2009.005

Wagner, A. (1883). Finanzwirtschaft, 2nd edition (3rd edition 1890). Leipzig, partly translated and reprinted in R. A. Musgrave, & A. Peacock (Eds.) (1958). Classics in the theory of public finance. London.

Dr Krzysztof Szlichciński

Senior lecturer at Collegium Mazovia of the Innovative University in Siedlce. He obtained his PhD in economics at the Faculty of Economics and Sociology of the University of Lodz. For many years, he combined his research and teaching work with work in banking. The main area of scientific and research interests is the role of the state in the economy, the functioning of entities on financial markets, including issuers of securities.

Dr Krzysztof Szlichciński

Starszy wykładowca w Collegium Mazovia Innowacyjnej Szkole Wyższej w Siedlcach. Tytuł doktora ekonomii uzyskał na Wydziale Ekonomiczno-Socjologicznym Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego. Pracę naukowo-dydaktyczną przez wiele lat łączył z pracą w bankowości. Główny obszar jego zainteresowań naukowo-badawczych to rola państwa w gospodarce, funkcjonowanie podmiotów na rynkach finansowych, z uwzględnieniem emitentów papierów wartościowych.